PATENT COOPERATION TREATX REC'D 17 FEB 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220 FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/012226 28.10.2004 29.10.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L9/08 Applicant ARGELCOM LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2 **FURTHER ACTION** if a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1*bis*(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3 For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and malling address of the ISA:

European Patent Office

Cretaine, P

Authorized Officer

D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8828

<u>____</u>



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012226

-	Ē	Box I	No. I Basis of the opinion						
-			2200 Of the Opinion						
1	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applic the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 								
☐ This opinion ha			this opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search						
2	. W	/ith r eces	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:								
		a sequence listing							
			table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b.	b. format of material:							
	☐ in written format								
			in computer readable form						
	C.	time	of filling/furnishing:						
			contained in the international application as filed.						
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.		cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto seen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bropriate, were furnished.						
4.	Add	ditional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012226

_	Во	x No. II	Priority										
1	. 🛛	The following document has not been furnished:											
			copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).										
					rlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).								
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priori nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is													
2.													
3.		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority documer was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.											
4. Additional observations, if necessary:													
_	Box	No. V	Peaconad state		In a D. I. 44	0// // //							
			Reasoned state pplicability; citati	ons and	er Hule 43 explanation	ons support	with regard to ling such state	novelty, inv ment	rentive step of)r			
1.		ement			-								
	Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8							
				Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8	1-8 1-8						
	indu	idustrial applicability (IA)			Claims Claims	1-8							
2.	Citat	ions and	d explanations										

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1 = B.PRENEEL ET AL.: NESSIE SECURITY REPORT, PAGES I-VII, 178-201, 19 February 2003 (2003-02-19), XP002316503

Prior art:

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of **claim** 1, and shows (see paragraphs 6.3.1, 6.3.3) a secure communication system using a key encapsulation mechanism (KEM) and a data encapsulation mechanism (DEM): the key encapsulation mechanism (KEM) utilises the receiving location's public key and a random seed to provide a symmetric session key, and provides an encryption of the session key under the receiving location's public key; the data encapsulation mechanism then uses the session key to symmetrically encrypt the message to be transmitted from the sending location to the receiving location. The sending location transmits to the receiving location both the encrypted session key and the encrypted message. The receiving location recovers the session key using its private key and then uses the session key to recover the message.

Novelty:

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs essentially from this known system in that the claimed system comprises a plurality of receiving locations, each associated with a private/public key pair: at the sending location, a plurality of encryptions of the session key are performed, each with the public key of sending location, and sent together to each of the receiving locations; the message is sent encrypted with the session key to each of the receiving locations; each of the receiving location uses its own private key to decrypt the encrypted version of the session key corresponding to its own public key.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

Inventive step:

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to perform an efficient multicast of a message in a KEM-DEM system.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: the skilled person, starting from the known point-to-point KEM-DEM method, will naturally use a KEM-DEM session between the sending location and each one of the receiving location, thereby providing a session key for each of the receiving location and sending the message encrypted with respective session keys to each one of the plurality of receiving locations.

Nothing in the prior art documents would lead the skilled person to use the particular procedure of claim 1 with a single session key and a plurality of encryptions of this session key.

Therefore claim 1 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Independent **claim 5** contains the same features combination as claim 1 in terms of a method claim. Therefore claim 5 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Independent **claim 2** relates to an alternative of the invention wherein the message is used as input to the system instead of the random number generator, the message being then encrypted with each the public keys of the receiving location and being recovered at each of the receiving locations.

The particular combination of features of claim 2, relating to a multicast KEM-DEM procedure with the message itself being used as input to the KEM, is not disclosed in or suggested by the documents of the search report.

Therefore claim 2 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Independent claim 6 contains the same features combination as claim 2 in terms of a method claim. Therefore claim 6 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012226

Claim 3, 4, 6 and 8 are dependent on claims 2 or 6 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.